## ROBERT E. RILEY - 8/25/05

IN	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

---000---

BERT MEYER,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1:04cv00049JMS-BMK

MATSON NAVIGATION COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

Deposition of

ROBERT E. RILEY

Thursday, August 25, 2005

## GERTIFIED COPY

REPORTED BY: MARY DUTRA, CSR #9251

NOGARA REPORTING SERVICE 130 Battery Street, Suite 580 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 398-1889

EXHIBIT "9"

	RUBERT E. RILET - 0/25/05				
1	A. Okay.				
2	Q. At the time Mr. Meyer fell, was he looking				
3	up?				
4	A. That's what I understand, yes.				
5	Q. Had he finished unlocking the cones?				
.6	A. Not the particular one that was stuck.				
7	Q. So he slipped while he was in the process of				
8	unlocking the cone?				
9	A. Yes. Trying to unlock the cone, yes.				
10	Q. By the way, prior to Mr. Meyer's fall, do				
11	you know how many other longshoremen had walked along				
12	the catwalk at rows 15 and 16?				
13	A. I would have no idea.				
14	Q. Do you have any understanding of whether				
15	anybody had?				
16	A. Yes. I have an understanding that many				
17	people have walked that catwalk.				
18	Q. Okay. Would it be accurate to say that if				
19	Mr. Meyer's foot had not slipped, that his foot would				
20.	not have gone into the opening between the catwalk and				
21	the hatch cover?				
22	A. Probably would not in this particular				
23	instance.				
24	Q. And I guess just to make sure, are you aware				
25	of anything else, like rain or grease or anything,				

ž.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21.

2223

24

25

that would have caused his foot to slip off the padeye other than animal waste?

- A. My understanding is that there was no rain involved.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. No moisture from the rain.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. It was clear, is my understanding.
- Q. All right. But based on all the facts you know, was there any other reason for his foot to slip off that padeye other than animal waste being on the bottom of his shoe?
  - A. I don't know of any other reason.
- Q. Okay. Now going on down, you again make reference to the Morishige and the Tavares cases.

First of all -- and in both of those cases,

Captain Riley, were the two longshoremen looking up or

having their attention diverted somewhere else when

they stepped into the opening?

- A. I don't remember either way.
- Q. As far as the mechanics of the accident, did either one of them have any type of slippery substance on their shoes?
  - A. I don't know, but I don't think so.
  - Q. So the photographs that you have as

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )

. 22

I, MARY DUTRA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 2025 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify that

ROBERT E. RILEY,

the witness in the foregoing deposition, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said testimony of said witness was reported by me, a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed under my direction into computer-aided transcription and is a true and correct transcription of said proceedings.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

Dated the 13th day of September, 2005.

Mary Dutra

CSR No. 9251 (California)